

# Math 275D Lecture 27 Notes

Daniel Raban

December 12, 2019

## 1 The Martingale Central Limit Theorem

### 1.1 Motivation

The central limit theorem says something like

$$\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

We have also learned about Donsker's theorem, which extends this idea to Brownian motion.

$S_n$  is not necessarily small. If  $X_i$  are iid, then  $\mathbb{E}[S_n] = n \mathbb{E}[X_i]$ . The central limit theorem tells us that the fluctuation of  $S_n$  is much less than  $n \mathbb{E}[X]$ . We know that  $\mathbb{E}[S_n] \sim n$  and  $\text{Var}(S_n) \sim n$ . This is because

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i] \right)^2 \right] = \sum_{i,j} \mathbb{E}[(X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i])(X_j - \mathbb{E}[X_j])],$$

where these terms are 0 if  $i \neq j$  by independence. So the property comes from the increments  $X_k = S_{k+1} - S_k$ .

But the situation is more complicated for martingales. Let  $S_1, S_2, \dots$  be a martingale. Then if

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(S_{k+1} - S_k)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_k] \rightarrow c,$$

then

$$\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

In the iid case,  $c = 1$ . If we define  $X_k = S_{k+1} - S_k$ , then  $X_k$  and  $X_\ell$  are not independent. So this result is nontrivial (and maybe even unintuitive). The idea is that under certain conditions, the  $X_k$  are independent.

## 1.2 The Markov chain CLT and martingale CLT

**Theorem 1.1** (Martingale CLT). *Let  $\{S_k\}_k$  be a martingale, and let  $X_k = S_{k+1} - S_k$ . Suppose that*

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\lceil n \cdot t \rceil} \mathbb{E}[X_k^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_k]}{tn} \rightarrow 1 \quad \forall t.$$

*Then*

$$\frac{S_{(n)}}{\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow B(\cdot).$$

Compare this to the Markov chain central limit theorem. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a Markov chain with a stationary distribution  $\pi$ . If we start the chain at  $\pi$ , then  $X_1, X_2, \dots \stackrel{d}{=} X_2, X_3, \dots$ ; i.e. the sequence is **stationary**. The ergodic theorem says that  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f(X_k) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_\pi[f(X_1)]$ ; i.e. the sequence is **ergodic**.

**Theorem 1.2** (Markov chain CLT). *Let  $\{X_n\}_n$  be an ergodic, stationary sequence with  $\mathbb{E}[X_n \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = 1$ . Then*

$$\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

The central limit theorem for Markov chains is a special case of the theorem for martingales. Let's prove this assuming the martingale CLT.

*Proof.* We want to show that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_k \mathbb{E}[X_k^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \rightarrow 1.$$

Define  $u_k := \mathbb{E}[X_k^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]$ ; this is also an ergodic, stationary sequence. So the property in the martingale CLT is satisfied.  $\square$

Now let's prove the martingale CLT.

*Proof.* If  $\{S_n\}_n$  is a martingale with  $S_0 = 0$  (and  $\mathbb{E}[S_n^2] < \infty$ ), then we can define stopping times  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  such that  $(S_1, \dots, S_n) \stackrel{d}{=} (B_{T_1}, \dots, B_{T_n})$ . This is a repeated use of Skorokhod's representation theorem. We then find that

$$\mathbb{E}[X_k^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = \mathbb{E}[T_k - T_{k-1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{k-1}}^B].$$

If  $T_n \approx n$ , we are done. We have  $T_n = \sum_k T_k - T_{k-1}$  and  $\sum_k \mathbb{E}[T_k - T_{k-1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{k-1}}] = n$ . If we can show that both are close, we will be done.

Let  $\tau_k = T_k - T_{k-1}$ , and let  $V_k = \mathbb{E}[T_k - T_{k-1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{k-1}}]$ . We want to show that  $\mathbb{E}[(\sum_k \tau_k - V_k)^2] = O(n)$ . If  $k < \ell$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[(\tau_k - \mathbb{E}[\tau_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{k-1}}])(\tau_\ell - \mathbb{E}[\tau_\ell \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{\ell-1}}])] = \mathbb{E}[\tau_k \tau_\ell] - \mathbb{E}[\tau_\ell \mathbb{E}[\tau_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{k-1}}]] - \mathbb{E}[\tau_k \mathbb{E}[\tau_\ell \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{\ell-1}}]]$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\tau_\ell \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{\ell-1}}] \mathbb{E}[\tau_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{k-1}}]]$$

The third term becomes  $-\mathbb{E}[\tau_k \tau_\ell]$ . We can calculate the other terms similarly.

$$= 0.$$

□